

18/11/2018

NUMERICAL SOLUTION OF ORDER

Equation

i - Taylor's series method; if you have a function $f(x)$ with a continuous derivatives at the point $x=a$ then, The Taylor's series expansion of the function $x=a$ is given by

$$f(x+a) = f(a) + (x-a)f'(a) + \frac{(x-a)^2 f''(a)}{2!} + \frac{(x-a)^3 f'''(a)}{3!} + \dots + \frac{(x-a)^n f^{(n)}(a)}{n!} + E_{n+1}$$

$$\text{where } E_{n+1} \leq \left| \frac{(x-a)^{n+1} f^{(n+1)}(\xi)}{(n+1)!} \right| \quad \xi \in (a, x)$$

If $a=0$ Then

The series is called Maclaurin's Series

$$y \frac{dy}{dx} = f(x, y), \quad y(x_0) = y_0$$

Then Taylor's series expansion is given

$$\text{as } y(x) = y(x_0) + (x-x_0)y'(x_0) + \frac{(x-x_0)^2 y''(x_0)}{2!} + \frac{(x-x_0)^3 y'''(x_0)}{3!} + \dots + \frac{(x-x_0)^n y^{(n)}(x_0)}{n!} + E_{n+1}$$

$$E_{n+1} \leq \left| \frac{(x-x_0)^{n+1} f^{(n+1)}(\xi)}{(n+1)!} \right| \quad \xi \in (x_0, x)$$

Initial value problem

$$\text{eg: } \frac{dy}{dx} = xy \quad y(0) = 1 \quad y'(0) = 5$$

Bounding value problem

$$y'' - 2y' + 4x^2y = 0 \quad \text{at } y'(1) = 0 \\ y(3) = -1$$

Because the value of the solution is known between two different points.

$$y'(x_0), y''(x_0), y'''(x_0)$$

can be obtained as following

$$y' = f(x, y)$$

$$y'(x_0) = f(x_0, y_0)$$

$$y''(x_0) = f'(x_0, y_0)$$

$$y'''(x_0) = f''(x_0, y_0)$$

$$y^{(n)}(x_0) = f^{(n-1)}(x_0, y_0)$$

Use the Taylor series to find the first ^{fourth} term of eq.

$$\frac{dy}{dx} + xy = e^x \quad y(0) = 1$$

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = e^x - xy$$

$$x_0 = 0 \quad y_0 = 1$$

$$y(x) = y(x_0) + xy'(x_0) + \frac{x^2 y''(x_0)}{2!} + \frac{x^3 y'''(x_0)}{3!} + \dots$$

$$\frac{x^4 y^{(4)}(x_0) + E_5}{4!}$$

$$y'(x_0) = y'(0) = e^0 - 0.1 = 1$$

$$y''(x_0) = e^0 - 1 - 0.1 - 1 - 1 - 1 = 0 \quad y'' = e^x - 1 - xy'$$

$$y'''(0) = 1 - 2 \cdot 1 - 0 \cdot 0 = -1$$

$$y^{(4)}(0) = 1 - 3 \cdot 0 - 0 = 1 \quad y^{(4)} = e^x - 2y'' - xy'''$$

$$y(x) = 1 + x - \frac{x^3}{6} + \frac{x^4}{24}$$

$$E_5 \leq \left| \frac{x^5 f^{(5)}(\xi)}{5!} \right| \quad \xi \in (0, x)$$

$$\text{let } \xi = 0 \quad y^{(5)} = e^x - 4y''' - xy^{(4)}$$

$$E_5 = \left| \frac{5x^5}{5!} \right| \quad y(0) = 1 + 4 = 5$$

$$= \left| \frac{5x^5}{5 \times 4!} \right| = \left| \frac{x^5}{24} \right|$$

$$E_5 = \left| \frac{x^5}{24} \right|$$

$$\text{At } x = 1.5$$

$$\frac{dy}{dx} + xy = e^x$$

use Taylor to solve the initial problem.

$$y'' = y' + xy^2$$

$$y(0) = 1 \quad y'(0) = -1$$

$$y'' = y' + xy^2 \Rightarrow y(0) = 1, \quad y'(0) = -1$$

$$y(x) = y(0) + xy'(0) + \frac{xy''(0)}{2!} + \frac{x^3 y'''(0)}{3!} +$$

$$\frac{x^4 y^{(4)}(0)}{4!} + E_5$$

$$y''(0) = -1 + 0 \cdot (1)^2 = -1, \quad y'''(0) = -1 + 1 + 0 = 0$$

$$y^{(4)}(0) = 0 - 4 = -4 \quad y(x) = 1 - x - \frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{x^4}{6}$$

$$T^{(4)} = y'' + y^2 + 2xy'$$

$$y^{(4)} = y^{(4)} + 2y' + 2y + 2xy^{(4)}$$

Picard's Method

If $y' = f(x, y)$, $y(x_0) = y_0$

Now integrating both sides, we have

$$\int_{y_0}^y dy = \int_{x_0}^x f(x, y) dx$$

$$\rightarrow y(x) \Big|_{y_0}^y = \int_{x_0}^x f(t, y(t)) dt$$

$$y - y_0 = \int_{x_0}^x f(t, y(t)) dt$$

$$y(x) = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x f(t, y(t)) dt$$

$$\therefore y_n(x) = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x f(t, y_{n-1}(t)) dt \quad \dots *$$

* is called the Picard's iterative (or polynomials)

y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots named after the french Mathematician prof. Emile Picard

Example

Use the Picard method to determine (up to the k^{th} power) the solution of the I.V.P $y' = 2xy$, $y(0) = 1$

Solnⁿ

① $f(x, y) = 2xy$

② $x_0 = 0$ $y_0 = 1$

$$y_1(x) = y_0 + \int_0^x f(t, y_0(t)) dt$$

$$= 1 + \int_0^x 2t(1) dt$$

$$= 1 + \int_0^x 2t dt = 1 + t^2 \Big|_0^x = \underline{\underline{1 + x^2}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_2(x) &= y_0 + \int_0^x f(t, y_1(t)) dt \\
 &= 1 + \int_0^x 2t(1+t^2) dt \\
 &= 1 + \int_0^x (2t + 2t^3) dt \\
 &= 1 + \left[t^2 + \frac{t^4}{2} \right]_0^x
 \end{aligned}$$

$$y_2(x) = 1 + x^2 + \frac{x^4}{2}$$

$$y_3(x) = 1 + \int_0^x (1+t^2 + \frac{t^4}{2}) dt = 1 + t + \frac{t^3}{3} + \frac{t^5}{10} \Big|_0^x$$

$$y_3(x) = 1 + x + \frac{x^3}{3} + \frac{x^5}{10}$$

② $y' = 3xy^2, \quad y(1) = 2$

$$f(x, y) = 3x - y^2, \quad x_0 = 1, \quad y_0 = 2$$

$$y_1(x) = y_0 + \int_1^x f(t, y_0(t)) dt$$

$$= 2 + \int_1^x (3t - 4) dt$$

$$= 2 + \left[\frac{3t^2}{2} - 4t \right]_1^x$$

$$= 2 + \frac{3x^2}{2} - 4x - \frac{3}{2} + 4$$

$$y_1(x) = \frac{3x^2}{2} - 4x + \frac{9}{2}$$

$$y_2(x) = y_0 + \int_1^x f(t, y_1(t)) dt$$

$$= 2 + \int_1^x (3t - \left[\frac{3t^2}{2} - 4t + \frac{9}{2} \right]^2) dt$$

$$2 + \int_1^x \left[3t - \left[\frac{81}{4} - \frac{2 \times 9}{2} (4t - \frac{3}{2}t^2) + (4t - \frac{3}{2}t^2)^2 \right] \right] dt$$

$$2 + \int_1^x \left[3t - \frac{81}{4} - 36t + 22t \right] dt$$

$$y_2(x) = 2 + \frac{9}{20}x^5 - 3x + \frac{59x^3}{6} - \frac{33x^2}{2} - \frac{81}{4}x - \frac{9}{20} +$$

$$3 - \frac{59}{6} + \frac{33}{2} + \frac{81}{4}$$

EXERCISE

1. Use Taylor's Series method to evaluate $y(1.8)$ from the I.V.P $y'' = y' + xy^2$, $y(1) = 0$, $y'(1) = 0.5$ up to 4th power.

② Use P₁ Cards method to evaluate (up to x^5) $y(1.6)$ from the I.V.P $y' = xy + 2x - 3$, $y(1) = 0$. Hence estimate that actual (absolute) error by comparing with the exact solution. Unit step and multistep method.

Def: A numerical scheme is called a unistep method if the value of the function is computed from the previous value is a previous step while in a multistep method, the value of the function is computed from more than one (previous) step.

Example: Unistep (one-step) methods include

- ① Euler method ② Improved Euler ③ R.K method

Example of multistep method include milne-thompson

- ① Predictor-corrector method

Adams Bashforth

① -Euler method: This method is named after the Swiss mathematician Leonhard Euler (1707, 1783)

Given the IVP $\frac{dy}{dx} = f(x, y), y(x_0) = y_0$.
 If x have the values $x_0, x_0+h, x_0+2h, \dots, x_0+nh$ or $x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$.

Corresponding to the value of $y = y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_n$ and h is the step size, then

$$y_1 = y_0 + hf(x_0, y_0), \quad y_2 = y_1 + hf(x_1, y_1)$$

$$y_3 = y_2 + hf(x_2, y_2), \quad y_n = y_{n-1} + hf(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1})$$

Proof

from the Taylor's Series of $y(x)$ that is

$$y(x) = y(x_0) + (x-x_0)y'(x_0) + E(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow y'(x_0) = \frac{y(x) - y(x_0)}{x - x_0}$$

but $x - x_0 = h$ and $y'(x) = f(x, y) \Rightarrow$

$$f(x, y) = \frac{y(x) - y(x_0)}{h}$$

$$\Rightarrow y(x) - y(x_0) = hf(x, y)$$

$$\Rightarrow y(x) = y(x_0) + hf(x, y)$$

$$y_1 = y_0 + hf(x_0, y_0)$$

Example

Solve by Euler's method $y' = x + y, y(0) = 1$

$y(0.3), h = 0.1$

Solution

① $f(x, y) = x + y$ ② $y(0) = 1 \Rightarrow x_0 = 0, y_0 = 1$

③ $h = 0.1$ $x_1 = x_0 + h = 0 + 0.1 = 0.1$

$y_1 = y_0 + h f(x_0, y_0)$ $x_1 = 0.1$

$= 1 + 0.1(0 + 1) = 1.1$

$x_1 = 0.1, y_1 = 1.1$

$y_2 = y_1 + h f(x_1, y_1)$

$y_2 = 1.1 + 0.1(x_1 + y_1)$

$= 1.1 + 0.1(0.1 + 1.1) = 1.22$

$y(0.2) \approx y_2 = 1.22$

$x_2 = 0.2, y_2 = 1.22$

$y_3 = y_2 + h f(x_2, y_2)$

$= 1.22 + 0.1(0.2 + 1.22) = 1.363$

$y(0.3) \approx y_3 = 1.363$

$y' = x + y$

$y' - y = x$

$P(x) = -1$ $Q(x) = x$

IF $e^{-\int dx} = e^{-x}$

$y IF = \int IF Q dx + C$

$y e^{-x} = \int x e^{-x} dx + C$

$y = e^x \left[\int x e^{-x} dx + C \right]$ evaluate $x=0.1$
 $x=0.2$
 $x=0.3$

26, $y' + x = y$ both are given in the problem

Example 2

Use Euler's method with step size 0.3 to compute the approximation y -value $y(0.9)$ of the solution of i.v.p $y' = x^2$, $y(0) = 1$.

Solution

① $f(x, y) = x^2$ ② $y(0) = 1$, $x_0 = 0$, $y_0 = 1$

③ $h = 0.3$

$$y_1 = y_0 + hf(x_0, y_0)$$
$$= 1 + 0.3(x_0^2) = 1$$

$$x_1 = 0.3, \quad y_1 = 1$$

$$y_2 = y_1 + hf(x_1, y_1)$$

$$y_2 = 1 + 0.3(x_1^2)$$

$$= 1 + 0.3(0.3)^2$$

$$x^2 = 0.6 \quad \therefore y_2 = 1.027$$

$$y_3 = y_2 + hf(x_2, y_2)$$

$$= 1.027 + 0.3(x_2^2)$$

$$= 1.027 + 0.3(0.6)^2$$

$$= 1.135 //$$

Example 3

$$y' = x + 2y$$

$$h = 0.1$$

$$y(0) = 0, \quad y(0.4)$$

① $f(x, y) = x + 2y$ ② $y(0) = 0$, $x_0 = 0$, $y_0 = 0$

$$h = 0.1 \quad h = 0.2$$

$$y_1 = y_0 + hf(x_0, y_0) = 0 + 0.1(x_0 + 2y_0)$$

$x_4 = y_4$
 $y_4 = 0.0641$

$$0 + 0.1(0 + 2 \times 0)$$

$$y(0.1) = y_1 = 0$$

$$x_1 = 0.1, y_1 = 0$$

$$y_2 = y_1 + h f(x_1, y_1)$$

$$0 + 0.1(x_1 + 2 \times y_1)$$

$$y_2 = 0 + 0.1(0.1 + 2 \times 0)$$

$$y(0.2) = y_2 = 0.01$$

$$x_2 = 0.2, y_2 = 0.01$$

$$y_3 = y_2 + h f(x_2, y_2)$$

$$= 0.01 + 0.1(0.2 + 0.01)$$

$$= 0.01 + 0.1(0.21)$$

$$y_3 = 0.031$$

$$x_3 = 0.3$$

$$y_4 = y_3 + h f(x_3, y_3)$$

$$= 0.031 + 0.1(0.3 + 0.031)$$

$$y_4 = 0.0641$$

Improved Euler's method

The above scheme can be improved upon by the scheme

$$z_1 = y_0 + hf(x_0, y_0) \Rightarrow z_n = y_{n-1} + hf(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1})$$

$$y_1 = y_0 + \frac{h}{2} [f(x_0, y_0) + f(x_1, z_1)]$$

$$y_1^{(0)} = y_0 + hf(x_0, y_0)$$

$$y_1^{(1)} = y_0 + \frac{h}{2} [f(x_0, y_0) + f(x_1, y_1^{(0)})]$$

$$y_1^{(2)} = y_0 + \frac{h}{2} [f(x_0, y_0) + f(x_1, y_1^{(1)})]$$

$$y_n = y_{n-1} + \frac{h}{2} [f(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}) + f(x_n, z_n)]$$

$$y_n = y_{n-1} + \frac{h}{2} [f(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}) + f(x_n, y_{n-1})]$$

Example

$$y' = xy, \quad y(1) = 1 \text{ and } h = 0.1$$

Use improved Euler's method to approximate $y(1.3)$ using improved Euler's method.

Solution

$$z_1 = y_0 + hf(x_0, y_0)$$

$$y_1 = y_0 + \frac{h}{2} [f(x_0, y_0) + f(x_1, z_1)]$$

① $f(x, y) = xy$, ② $y(1) = 1 \Rightarrow x_0 = 1, y_0 = 1$

③ $h = 0.1$

$$z_1 = 1 + 0.1 [1 \times 1] = 1.1$$

$$y_1 = 1 + \frac{0.1}{2} [1 \times 1 + 1.1 \times 1.1]$$

$$z_1 = 1 + 0.05 [2.21] = 1.105$$

$$x_1 = 1.1 \text{ and } y_1 = 1.105$$

$$y_2 = y_1 + \frac{h}{2} [f(x_1, y_1) + f(x_2, y_2)]$$

Approximate $y(0.6)$ for each of the i.v.p below using the improved Euler's method with a step size $h = 0.2$.

① $y' = x - y, y(0) = 2$

② $y' = \frac{x^2 - 2xy}{x^2 + 2y^2}, y(0) = -1$

③ $y' = 5y, y(0) = 1$

④ $y' = \frac{x-y}{x+2y}, y(0) = 1$

Also obtain their exact solution and evaluate $y(0.6)$. Compare your result with the improved Euler's method.

RUNGE - KUTTA

The Runge-Kutta method was developed by Carl Runge (1826 - 1927) and Kutta (1861 - 1944) both German mathematicians. It has an advantage over the Taylor's series in the sense that it does not use derivatives. It has a higher degree of accuracy than the previous methods and as such is the most widely used.

If $\frac{dy}{dx} = f(x, y), y(x_0) = y_0$

Then $y_1 = y_0 + \frac{1}{6} (k_1 + 2k_2 + 2k_3 + k_4)$

where $k_1 = hf(x_0, y_0)$, $k_2 = hf(x_0 + \frac{h}{2}, y_0 + \frac{1}{2}k_1)$

$$k_3 = hf(x_0 + \frac{h}{2}, y_0 + \frac{1}{2}k_2)$$

$$k_4 = hf(x_0 + h, y_0 + k_3)$$

$$y_2 = y_1 + \frac{1}{6}(k_1 + 2k_2 + 2k_3 + k_4)$$

$$k_1 = hf(x_1, y_1), \quad k_2 = hf(x_1 + \frac{h}{2}, y_1 + \frac{1}{2}k_1)$$

$$k_3 = hf(x_1 + \frac{h}{2}, y_1 + \frac{1}{2}k_2)$$

$$k_4 = hf(x_1 + h, y_1 + k_3)$$

$$y_n = y_{n-1} + \frac{1}{6}[k_1 + 2k_2 + 2k_3 + k_4]$$

$$k_1 = hf(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), \quad k_2 = hf(x_{n-1} + \frac{h}{2}, y_{n-1} + \frac{1}{2}k_1)$$

$$k_3 = hf(x_{n-1} + \frac{h}{2}, y_{n-1} + \frac{1}{2}k_2)$$

$$k_4 = hf(x_{n-1} + h, y_{n-1} + k_3)$$

Example

find $y(0.1)$ and $y(0.2)$ from the i.v.p

$$y' = x^2 + y, \quad y(0) = 1, \quad h = 0.1$$

Solution

① $f(x, y) = x^2 + y$ ② $y(0) = 1 \Rightarrow x_0 = 0, y_0 = 1$

③ $h = 0.1$

$$k_1 = hf(x_0, y_0)$$

$$k_1 = 0.1 f(x_0, y_0) = 0.1 [0^2 + 1] = 0 + 0.1 = 0.1$$

$$k_2 = hf(x_0 + \frac{h}{2}, y_0 + \frac{1}{2}k_1)$$

$$= 0.1(0.105, 1.105)$$

$$= 0.1(0.05, 1.05)$$

$$k_2 = 0.1(0.05^2 + 1.05) = 0.10525$$

$$k_3 = hf(x_0 + \frac{h}{2}, y_0 + \frac{1}{2}k_2)$$

$$= 0.1f(0.05, 1.05262)$$

$$= 0.1(0.05^2 + 1.05262)$$

$$= 0.10551$$

$$k_4 = hf(x_0 + h, y_0 + k_3)$$

$$= 0.1f(0.1, 1.1055)$$

$$= 0.1[0.1^2 + 1.1055]$$

$$= 0.11155$$

$$y_1 = y_0 + \frac{1}{6}[k_1 + 2k_2 + 2k_3 + k_4]$$

$$= 1 + \frac{1}{6}(0.1 + (2 \times 0.10525) + (2 \times 0.10551) + 0.11155)$$

$$y_1 = 1.224205$$

$$y_2 = 1.224205$$

$$y(0.2) = 3e^{-0.2}$$

$$y(x) = 3e^{-x^2 - 2x - 2}$$

$$y(0.2) = 1.224208$$

$$\text{Error} = 1.22408 - 1.224205$$

$$= 0.000003$$

30-01-2018

MILNE THOMPSON

The method of Taylor's Euler and Runge-Kutta are called single-step methods because they use only the information from one previous point to compute the successive point that is only the initial point (x_0, y_0) is used to compute (x_1, y_1) and in general y_n is needed to compute y_{n+1} . After several points have been found it is possible to use several previous points in the calculation, The Milne-Thompson method used $y_{n-3}, y_{n-2}, y_{n-1}$ and y_n in the calculation of y_{n+1} . This method is not self-starting four initial points $(x_0, y_0), (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), (x_3, y_3)$ must be given in advance in order generate the point $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$

$$\text{If } \frac{dy}{dx} = f(x, y); \quad y(x_0) = y_0 \text{ then } y_4^p = y_0 + \frac{4}{3}$$

$$h(2f_1 - f_2 + 2f_3) \text{ and } y_4^c = y_2 + \frac{h}{3} (f_2 + 4f_3 + f_4)$$

Note that the formula above can be derived from the Milne-integration, that is

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = f(x, y), \quad y(x_0) = y_0, \text{ integrating both}$$

sides w.r.t x from x_0 to $x_0 + 4h$ given

$$\int_{x_0}^{x_0+4h} dy = \int_{x_0}^{x_0+4h} f(x,y) dx \quad \begin{cases} x = x_0 + Ph \\ dx = h dp \\ \Rightarrow P=4 \end{cases}$$

$$y_4 - y_0 = h \int_0^4 f(P) dp$$

expanding $f(P)$ using the Newtons interpolation difference formular of degree 3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} y_4 - y_0 &= h \left[f_0 + P \Delta f_0 + \frac{P(P-1)}{2} \Delta^2 f_0 + \frac{P(P-1)(P-2)}{6} \Delta^3 f_0 \right] \\ &= h \left[P f_0 + \frac{P^2}{2} \Delta f_0 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{P^3}{3} - \frac{P^2}{2} \right) \Delta^2 f_0 + \frac{P^4 - P^3 + P^2}{6} \Delta^3 f_0 \right] \\ &= h \left[4f_0 + 8\Delta f_0 + \frac{20}{3} \Delta^2 f_0 + \frac{8}{3} \Delta^3 f_0 \right] \end{aligned}$$

Now replacing this finite difference with the functional values we have

$$\Delta f_0 = f_1 - f_0, \quad \Delta^2 f_0 = f_2 - 2f_1 + f_0, \quad \Delta^3 f_0 = f_3 - 3f_2 + 3f_1 - f_0$$

$$3f_1 - f_0$$

$$y_4 - y_0 = h \left[4f_0 + 8(f_1 - f_0) + \frac{20}{3}(f_2 - 2f_1 + f_0) + \frac{8}{3}(f_3 - 3f_2 + 3f_1 - f_0) \right]$$

$$y_4 - y_0 = h \left[\frac{8}{3} f_1 - \frac{4}{3} f_2 + \frac{8}{3} f_3 \right]$$

$$y_4^p = y_0 + \frac{4h}{3} [2f_1 - f_2 + 2f_3]$$

Similarly, integrating the w.p from x_0+2h to x_0+4h the corrector formular can be distained

Example

use the Milne - Thompson method to determine $y(0.4)$ and $y(0.5)$ from the I.V.P

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = x^2 + y, \quad y(0) = 1 \quad \text{taking } h = 0.1$$

The Taylor's series method to determine the starting values.

Solution

$$y(x) = y_0 + x y'(0) + \frac{x^2}{2!} y''(0) + \frac{x^3}{3!} y'''(0)$$

$$\textcircled{1} \frac{dy}{dx} = x^2 + y$$

$$\textcircled{2} y(0) = 1$$

$$\textcircled{3} h = 0.1$$

$$y_0 = 1, \quad x_0 = 0$$

$$y'(0) = 0^2 + 1 = 1$$

$$y''(0) = 2x + y' = 2(0) + 1 = 1$$

$$y'''(0) = 2 + y''(0) = 2 + 1 = 3$$

$$y(x) = 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{2}$$

$$x_1 = 0.1, \quad y_1(0.1) = 1 + 0.1 + \frac{0.1^2}{2} + \frac{0.1^3}{2} = 1.1055$$

$$x_2 = 0.2, \quad y_2(0.2) = 1 + 0.2 + \frac{0.2^2}{2} + \frac{0.2^3}{2}$$

$$= 1.2280$$

$$x_3 = 0.3$$

$$y_3(0.3) = 1 + 0.3 + \frac{0.3^2}{2} + \frac{0.3^3}{2} = 1.3585$$

$$x_0 = 0, \quad x_1 = 0.1, \quad x_2 = 0.2, \quad x_3 = 0.3, \quad x_4 = 0.4$$

$$y_0 = 1, \quad y_1 = 1.1055, \quad y_2 = 1.2280, \quad y_3 = 1.3585$$

$$y_4^p = y_0 + \frac{4}{3} h [2f_1 - f_2 + 2f_3]$$

$$f_1 = f(x_1, y_1) = x_1^2 + y_1 = 0.1^2 + 1.1055 = 1.1155$$

$$f_2 = f(x_2, y_2) = x_2^2 + y_2 = 0.2 + 1.2280 = 1.2680$$

$$f_3 = f(x_3, y_3) = x_3^2 + y_3 = 0.3 + 1.3585 = 1.4485$$

$$y_4^p = 1 + \frac{4 \times 0.1}{3} [2 \times 1.1155 - 1.2680 + 2 \times 1.4485]$$

$$= 1.5152$$

$$f_4 = f(x_4, y_4^p) = x_4^2 + y_4^p = 0.4^2 + 1.5152$$

$$= 1.6752$$

$$y_4^c = y_2 + \frac{h}{3} [f_2 + 4f_3 + f_4]$$

$$= 1.2240 + \frac{0.1}{3} [1.2680 + 4 \times 1.4485 + 1.6752]$$

$$= 1.2240 + \frac{0.1}{3} [8.7332]$$

$$= \underline{1.5151} \quad \text{Error} = 1.5151 - 1.5152 = -0.0001$$

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = x^2 + y$$

$$\frac{dy}{dx} - y = x^2$$

$$I.F. = e^{-x} = e^{-x}$$

$$\int \frac{d}{dx} [y I.F.] dx = \int e^{-x} x^2 dx$$

$$y e^{-x} = \int x^2 e^{-x} dx$$

$$y = 4 e^{4x} = x^2 - 2x - 2$$

$$y(0.4) = 3 \times e^{4 \times 0.4} - 0.4^2 - 2 \times 0.4 - 2$$

$$= 1.51547$$

$$\text{Error} = 1.51547 - 1.5151 = 0.00037$$

$$y_4^P = y_0 + \frac{4h}{3} [2f_1 - f_2 + 2f_3]$$

$$y_4^C = y_2 + \frac{h}{3} [f_2 + 4f_3 + f_4]$$

$$y_5^P = y_1 + \frac{4h}{3} [2f_2 - f_3 + 2f_4]$$

$$y_5^C = y_3 + \frac{h}{3} [f_3 + 4f_4 + f_5]$$

Assignment

y_5^C

$$y_k^P = y_{k-4} + \frac{4h}{3} [2f_{k-3} - f_{k-2} + 2f_{k-1}]$$

$$y_k^C = y_{k-2} + \frac{h}{3} [f_{k-2} + 4f_{k-1} + f_k]$$

$k \geq 4 \in \mathbb{N}$

Adams' Bashforth and Moulton Predictor

Corrector formular

if $\frac{dy}{dx} = f(x, y)$, $y(x_0) = y_0$ is an IVP

Then $y_4^P = y_0 + \frac{h}{24} [55f_3 - 59f_2 + 37f_1 - 9f_0]$ (Adams Bashforth)

$y_4^C = y_3 + \frac{h}{24} (9f_4 + 19f_3 - 5f_2 + f_1)$ (Adams-Moulton)

The Adams-Bashforth predictor formular can be obtained from the Newton's Backward difference formular that

is, integrate the IVP from x_0 to $x_0 + h$ given

$$\int_{x_0}^{x_0+h} dy = \int_{x_0}^{x_0+h} f(x, y) dx$$

$$\Rightarrow y_1 - y_0 = h \int_{x_0}^{x_0+h} f(x, y) dx$$

$$y_1 - y_0 = h \int_0^1 f(p) dp = hf'f_0 + P \nabla f_0 + P(P+1) \nabla^2 f_0$$

Example

Use the Adams-Bashforth predictor-corrector formula to evaluate $y(0.4)$ and $y(0.5)$ from the

IVP $\frac{dy}{dx} = x^2 + y, \quad y(0) = 1$

ICP $y_0^p = 1.1556$

$y_0^c = 1.5008$

$y(0.4), y(0.5)$

$h = 0.1$

06-02-2018

SYSTEM OF LINEAR EQUATIONS

A system of $M \times N$ linear eqⁿ is a system of the form $a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n = b_1$
 $a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \dots + a_{2n}x_n = b_2$
 \dots
 $a_{m1}x_1 + a_{m2}x_2 + \dots + a_{mn}x_n = b_m$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \dots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_m \end{bmatrix}$$

These systems of linear equation is very important as quite often problems in science, engineering, economics, etc. lead to these systems eg the analysis of electric circuits consist of invariant elements (analysis of networks etc)

The solutⁿ of these systems can be classified into direct method (exact) these include.

- i) Cramer's rule
- ii) matrix inverse method
- iii) Gaussian elimination method

② The numerical iterate: these include Jacobi method

- i) Gauss seidel method also known as Lieb main method of successive displacement
- ii) successive over-relaxation method

Cramer's rule

If $|A| \neq 0$, then $x_i = \frac{\Delta_i}{\Delta}$ where Δ_i is the determinant of the matrix obtained by replacing the i th column with the constant x_1, \dots, x_n .

Drawback: i) The above system is difficult to apply if the order of the matrix $(n) > 4$

ii) $\Delta = 0$

matrix inverse method

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = A^{-1} B \quad A^{-1} = \frac{\text{Adj } A}{|A|}$$

Drawback if $\Delta = 0$ the process again fails

GAUSSIAN ELIMINATION

This method named after the German mathematician, Elimination Gaussian is the mostly widely applied as it does not require evaluation of the determinant. The system can be also be applied with non-square matrices. Most importantly the method can be implemented (easily) use computer.

Drawback: The system is cumbersome and more difficult to apply if the coefficient $[a_{ij}]$ are irrational numbers.

Example

$$2x_1 + x_2 - x_3 + 2x_4 = 5$$

$$4x_1 + 5x_2 - 3x_3 + 6x_4 = 9$$

$$-2x_1 + 5x_2 + 2x_3 + 6x_4 = 4$$

$$4x_1 + 11x_2 - 4x_3 + 8x_4 = 2$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 2 & 1 & -1 & 2 & 5 \\ 4 & 5 & -3 & 6 & 9 \\ -2 & 5 & 2 & 6 & 4 \\ 4 & 11 & -4 & 8 & 2 \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{l} R_2 \rightarrow 2R_1 - R_2 \\ R_3 \rightarrow R_1 + R_3 \\ R_4 \rightarrow 2R_1 - R_4 \end{array}$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 2 & 1 & -1 & 2 & 5 \\ 0 & 3 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 4 & 9 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & -8 & -8 \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{l} R_3 \rightarrow 2R_2 - R_3 \\ R_4 \rightarrow 3R_2 - R_4 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 & 2 & 5 \\ 0 & 3 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 4 & 11 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & -5 \end{bmatrix} \quad R_4 \Rightarrow R_3 + R_4$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 & 2 & 5 \\ 0 & 3 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 4 & 11 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} 2x_1 + x_2 - x_3 + 2x_4 = 5 \\ 3x_2 - x_3 + 2x_4 = -1 \\ -x_3 + 4x_4 = 11 \\ 2x_4 = 6 \end{array}$$

$$2x_2 = -6 \Rightarrow x_2 = -3 \quad x_4 = \frac{6}{2} = 3 \Rightarrow x_4 = 3$$

$$-x_3 + 4x_4 = 11$$

$$-x_3 + 12 = 11 \Rightarrow -x_3 + 1 = 0 \Rightarrow x_3 = 1$$

$$3x_2 - 1 + 2x_4 = -1 \Rightarrow 3x_2 - 1 + 6 + 1 = 0 \Rightarrow 3x_2 = -6$$

$$x_2 = -2$$

$$2x_1 - 2 - 1 + 6 = 5 \Rightarrow 2x_1 - 3 + 6 - 5 = 0 \Rightarrow 2x_1 = 2$$

$$x_1 = 1, x_2 = -2, x_3 = 1, x_4 = 3$$

EXERCISES

$$x_1 + 5x_2 = 7$$

$$2x_1 - 7x_2 = -5$$

$$\text{Ans } x_1 = 8, x_2 = 3$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad 4x - 3y + 2z = -8$$

$$-2x + y - 3z = -4 \quad \text{Ans } x = 3, y = 1, z = 3$$

$$x - y + 2z = 3$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad 4x + 8y - 4z = 4 \quad \text{Ans } x = -3, y = 1, z = -2$$

$$3x + 8y + 5z = -11$$

$$-2x + y + 12z = -17$$

The Jacobi method

The Jacobi method is describe as given the system of linear equation

$$a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n = b_1$$

$$a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \dots + a_{2n}x_n = b_2$$

$$\vdots$$

$$a_{m1}x_1 + a_{m2}x_2 + \dots + a_{mn}x_n = b_m$$

Next we make x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n the subject of the formulae in each case as shown below:

$$x_1 = \frac{1}{a_{11}} [b_1 - a_{12}x_2 - a_{13}x_3 - \dots - a_{1n}x_n]$$

$$x_2 = \frac{1}{a_{22}} [b_2 - a_{21}x_1 - a_{23}x_3 - \dots - a_{2n}x_n]$$

$$\vdots$$

$$x_n = \frac{1}{a_{nn}} [b_n - a_{n1}x_1 - a_{n2}x_2 - \dots - a_{n,n-1}x_{n-1}]$$

If the initial solution is $(x_1^0, x_2^0, \dots, x_n^0)$ then a better approximation to the solution

is $x_1^1 = \frac{1}{a_{11}} [b_1 - a_{12}x_2^0 - a_{13}x_3^0 - \dots - a_{1n}x_n^0]$

$$x_2^1 = \frac{1}{a_{22}} [b_2 - a_{21}x_1^1 - a_{23}x_3^0 - \dots - a_{2n}x_n^0]$$

The accuracy of the values can be improved

up on my applying the formular recursively,
that is

$$x_1^2 = \frac{1}{a_{11}} [b_1 - a_{12} x_2^1 - \dots - a_{1n} x_n^1]$$

$$x_2^2 = \frac{1}{a_{22}} [b_2 - a_{21} x_1^2 - \dots - a_{2n} x_n^1]$$

$$\vdots$$
$$x_n^2 = \frac{1}{a_{nn}} [b_n - a_{n1} x_1^1 - \dots - a_{nn-1} x_{n-1}^1]$$

$$x_1^k = \frac{1}{a_{11}} [b_1 - a_{12} x_2^{k-1} - \dots - a_{1n} x_n^{k-1}]$$

$$x_n^k = \frac{1}{a_{nn}} [b_n - a_{n1} x_1^{k-1} - \dots - a_{nn-1} x_{n-1}^{k-1}]$$

Note that! the jacobí method is one of the simplest iterations to implement, while its convergence make it too slow for use in many problem's, it is worthwhile to consider, since it form the basis of other methods.

Example

Solve the system using jacobí method

$$20x + y - 2z = 17 \Rightarrow x = \frac{1}{20} [17 - y + 2z]$$

$$3x + 20y - z = -18 \Rightarrow y = \frac{1}{20} [-18 - 3x + z]$$

$$2x - 3y + 20z = 25 \Rightarrow z = \frac{1}{20} [25 - 2x + 3y]$$

$$x = 0.85 - 0.05y + 0.1z \quad z = 0$$

$$y = -0.9 - 0.15x + 0.05z$$

$$z = 1.25 - 0.1x + 0.15y$$

$$x^1 = 0.85 - 0.05(0) + 0.1(0) = 0.85$$

$$y^1 = -0.9 - 0.15(0) + 0.05(0) = -0.9$$

$$z^1 = 1.25 - 0.1(0) + 0.15(0) = 1.02$$

$$x^2 = 0.85 - 0.05(0.9) + 0.1(1.25) = 1.02$$

$$y^2 = -0.9 - 0.1(0.85) + 0.15(1.25) = 0.965$$

$$z^2 = 1.25 - 0.1(0.85) + 0.15(-0.9) = 1.03$$

$$x = 1, y = -1, z = 1$$

Note that after 5th and 6th iteration

$$x = 1.0000, y = -0.9999 \text{ and } z = 0.9999$$

$$83x + 11y - 4z = 95$$

$$7x + 52y + 13z = 104$$

$$3x + 8y + 29z = 71$$

up to three iterations

taking $(x^0, y^0, z^0) = (1, -1, 1)$ as initial approximation

by the GAUSS SEIDEL METHOD

$$x_1^1 = \frac{1}{a_{11}} [b_1 - a_{12}x_2^0 - a_{13}x_3^0 - \dots - a_{1n}x_n^0]$$

$$x_2^1 = \frac{1}{a_{22}} [b_2 - a_{21}x_1^1 - a_{23}x_3^0 - \dots - a_{2n}x_n^{k-1}]$$

$$x_3^1 = \frac{1}{a_{33}} [b_3 - a_{31}x_1^1 - a_{32}x_2^1 - \dots - a_{3m-1}x_{m-1}^{k-1}]$$

$$x_n = \frac{1}{a_{nn}} [b_n - a_{n1}x_1' - a_{n2}x_2' - \dots - a_{n,n-1}x_{n-1}']$$

Thus

~~$$x_1^k = \frac{1}{a_{11}} [b_1 - a_{12}x_2^{k-1} - a_{13}x_3^{k-1} - \dots - a_{1n}x_n^{k-1}]$$~~

$$x_1^k = \frac{1}{a_{11}} [b_1 - a_{12}x_2^{k-1} - a_{13}x_3^{k-1} - \dots - a_{1n}x_n^{k-1}]$$

~~$$x_2^k = \frac{1}{a_{22}} [b_2 - a_{21}x_1^k - a_{23}x_3^{k-1} - \dots - a_{2n}x_n^{k-1}]$$~~

$$x_n^k = \frac{1}{a_{nn}} [b_n - a_{n1}x_1^k - a_{n2}x_2^k - \dots - a_{n,n-1}x_{n-1}^{k-1}]$$

- Note ① The Gauss-Seidel scheme converges faster than the Jacob's method since newer values of the x 's are used once they are available
- ② it is more widely used consequently.

Example

Use the Gauss-Seidel to solve the system

$$20x + y - 2z = 17$$

$$3x + 20y - z = -18$$

$$2x + 3y + 20z = 25$$

take $(x^0, y^0, z^0) = (1, 1, 1)$ as initial approximation.

Soln

For $k=1$

$$x = \frac{1}{20} [17 - y + 2z] \Rightarrow x = 0.85 - 0.05y + 0.1z$$

$$y = \frac{1}{20} [-18 - 3x + z] \Rightarrow y = -0.9 - 0.15x + 0.05z$$

$$z = \frac{1}{20} [25 - 2x + 3y] \Rightarrow z = 1.25 - 0.1x + 0.15y$$

$$x^1 = 0.85 - 0.05(0) + 0.1(0) = 0.85$$

$$y^1 = -0.9 - 0.15(0.85) + 0.05(0) = -1.02$$

$$z^1 = 1.25 - 0.1(0.85) + 0.15(-1.02) = 1.012$$

For $k=2$

$$x^2 = 0.85 - 0.05(-1.02) + 0.1(1.012) = 1.0022$$

$$y^2 = -0.9 - 0.15(1.0022) + 0.05(1.012) = -0.9997$$

$$z^2 = 1.25 - 0.1(1.0022) + 0.15(-0.9997) = 0.9998$$

Example 2

Use Gauss-Seidel method to solve the system

$$83x + 11y - 4z = 95$$

$$7x + 52y + 13z = 104$$

$$3x + 8y + 29z = 71$$

take $(x^0, y^0, z^0) = (1, 1, 1)$

Solutⁿ

$$x = \frac{1}{83} [95 - 11y + 4z] \Rightarrow x = 1.1446 - 0.1325y + 0.0481z$$

$$y = \frac{1}{52} [104 - 7x + 13z] \Rightarrow y = 2.0000 - 0.1346x + 0.2500z$$

$$z = \frac{1}{29} [71 - 3x - 8y] \Rightarrow z = 2.4482 - 0.1034x - 0.2758y$$

$$x^1 = 1.1446 - 0.1325(1) + 0.0481(1) = 1.0602$$

$$y^1 = 2.0000 - 0.1346(1.0602) + 0.2500(1) = 1.6073$$

$$z^1 = 2.4482 - 0.1034(1.0602) - 0.2758(1.6073) = 1.8952$$

$$x^2 = 1.0226$$

$$y^2 = 1.3885$$

$$z^2 = 1.9555$$

EXERCISES

① Use Gauss-Seidel method to evaluate

Ⓐ $10x + 2y + z = 9$ take $(x^0, y^0, z^0) = (1, 1, 1)$
 $2x + 20y - 2z = -44$
 $-2x + 3y - 10z = 22$

Ⓑ $28x + 4y - z = 32$
 $x + 3y + 10z = 24$ take $(x^0, y^0, z^0) = (1, 1, 1)$
 $2x + 17y + 4z = 35$

② Use the Jacob's method and Gauss-Seidel method to solve and compare the solution to

$10x_1 - 2x_2 - x_3 - 2x_4 = 3$
 $-2x_1 + 10x_2 - x_3 - 2x_4 = 15$ take $(x_1^0, x_2^0, x_3^0, x_4^0) = (1, 1, 1, 1)$
 $-x_1 - x_2 + 10x_3 - 2x_4 = 27$
 $-x_1 - x_2 - 2x_3 + 10x_4 = -9$

after 3 iteration

Assign:

Discuss the numerical solution of higher order differential equation

20-02-2018

Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ matrix, if X is a vector then a number λ is called the eigenvalue of A . In this case, X is called the eigenvectors of A .

The concept of eigenvalues and the corresponding vectors has a very important applications in science and engineering such as electrical circuit oscillation etc.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

Then the eigenvalues can be computed from the determinant $AX = \lambda X = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \det(A - \lambda I) = 0$$

$$\text{That is, } \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} - \lambda & a_{12} & a_{13} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} - \lambda & a_{23} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & a_{n3} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

The determinant above results in a polynomial equation in λ i.e. $f(\lambda) = P_0 \lambda^n + P_1 \lambda^{n-1} + \dots + P_n = 0$

The system is called the characteristic equation

or Latent equation. The roots of the equation give the eigenvalues (which may be real or complex) and the corresponding vectors called the eigenvectors.

There are two methods of computing the eigenvalues of a system. ① The direct method (Analytical method)

② The iterative or numerical method.

Direct methods (Analytical method).

The direct method includes

① Cayley-Hamilton method

② Gaussian method

Iterative methods

This method includes

① Power method

② Jacobi method

③ Deflation method

Example:

Find the eigenvalues and the corresponding eigenvectors of $A = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ $\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$.

Solution:

$$AX - \lambda X = 0 \Rightarrow (A - \lambda)X = 0 \Rightarrow$$

$$|A - \lambda| = 0$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} 5-d & 4 \\ 1 & 2-d \end{vmatrix} = (5-d)(2-d) - 4 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 10 - 5d - 2d + d^2 - 4 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow d^2 - 7d + 6 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow d^2 - d - 6d + 6 = 0$$

$$d(d-1) - 6(d-1) = 0$$

$$(d-1)(d-6) = 0 \Rightarrow d=1 \text{ or } d=6$$

$$Ax - dX = 0$$

$$(A-d)X = 0 \text{ for } d=1$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 5-1 & 4 \\ 1 & 2-1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

$$4x_1 + 4x_2 = 0$$

$$x_1 + x_2 = 0$$

$$x_1 + x_2 = 0 \Rightarrow x_1 = -x_2$$

$$\text{let } x_1 = 1 \text{ and } x_2 = -1$$

$$\text{for } d=1$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{for } d=6$$

$$(A-d)X = 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 4 \\ 1 & -4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

$$-x_1 + 4x_2 = 0$$

$$x_1 - 4x_2 = 0$$

$$x_1 = 4x_2$$

$$x_2 = \frac{x_1}{4} \Rightarrow x_1 = 1, x_2 = \frac{1}{4}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1/4 \end{pmatrix}$$

1. The eigenvalues are $d=1$ and $d=6$ and the corresponding eigenvectors are $(1, -1)$ and $(1, 1/4)$.

Iterative methods or numerical methods. Sometimes the methods discussed earlier may not be applicable to some other systems. In that case the iterative method(s) sought for these methods include

① Power method, Jacobi method and deflation method etc.

Power method

This method is used to compute the dominant eigenvalue and the corresponding eigenvector.

Definition if d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n are eigenvalues of an $n \times n$ square matrix, then an eigenvalue d_k is said to be the dominant eigenvalue of A if the absolute value of d_k is greater than the absolute value of the other eigenvalues i.e. $|d_k| > |d_i|$

for $i=1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ where $i \neq k$.

Example

① $A = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ have the eigenvalues $d=1$ and 6 therefore the dominant eigenvalue is 6 .

$$\textcircled{2} \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 6 & -1 & 6 \\ -1 & -2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

have, $d_1 = 0$, $d_2 = -4$, $d_3 = 3$.

\therefore the dominant eigenvalue is $|-4| = 4$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ have } d_1 = -2, d_2 = 2 \text{ hence the system has no dominant eigenvalue because } |-2| = |2| = 2.$$

The power method is due to the German mathematician Richard Von mises (1853 - 1953).

Suppose an $n \times n$ matrix A has a dominant eigenvalue λ_1 if x_0 is an initial approximate to the eigen vector, then

$$X = Ax_0 = \lambda_1 X_1 \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \lambda_1 \text{ is the dominant} \\ \text{eigen value} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$X^2 = AX_1 = \lambda_2 X_2$$

$$X^3 = AX_2 = \lambda_3 X_3 \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \lambda_n \text{ is the corresponding} \\ \text{eigen vector} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$X^n = AX_{n-1} = \lambda_n X_n$$

Example

if $A = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ 3 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ let $x_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ be the initial approximation, find the dominant eigenvalue

Solution

$$X^1 = AX_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ 3 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} = 6 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0.33 \end{pmatrix} X_1$$

$$X^2 = AX_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ 3 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0.33 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4.66 \\ 2.67 \end{pmatrix} = 4.66 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0.57 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$X^3 = AX_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ 3 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0.57 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 5.14 \\ 2.67 \end{pmatrix} = 5.14 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0.48 \end{pmatrix} X_3$$

$$X^4 = AX_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ 3 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0.48 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4.96 \\ 2.52 \end{pmatrix} = 4.96 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0.51 \end{pmatrix} X_4$$

$$X^5 = AX_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ 3 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0.51 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 5.02 \\ 2.49 \end{pmatrix} = 5.02 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0.50 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ 3 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$|A - \lambda| = 0 \Rightarrow \begin{vmatrix} 4 - \lambda & 2 \\ 3 & -1 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

$$(4 - \lambda)(-1 - \lambda) + 6 = 0$$

$$4 - 4\lambda - \lambda + \lambda^2 + 6 = 0$$

$$-\lambda^2 + 3\lambda + 10 = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda^2 - 3\lambda - 10 = 0$$

$$\lambda^2 - 5\lambda + 2\lambda - 10 = 0$$

$$\lambda(\lambda - 5) + 2(\lambda - 5) \Rightarrow (\lambda - 5)(\lambda + 2) = 0$$

$$\lambda = 5, \lambda = -2$$

$$AX - \lambda X = 0 \Rightarrow (A - \lambda)X = 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 \\ 3 & -6 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

$$-x_1 + 2x_2 = 0 \Rightarrow x_1 = 2x_2$$

$$3x_1 - 6x_2 = 0 \Rightarrow x_1 = 2x_2$$

$$x_1 = 2x_2 \Rightarrow x_2 = \frac{1}{2}x_1$$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$X_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & -6 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$X_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$X_0 = (1, 1, 0)^T$$

06-03-2018

Inverse of matrices

Let A be a square matrix (invertible) a matrix is called the inverse of A denoted by A^{-1} (iff) $AA^{-1} = A^{-1}A = I$, where I is the Identity matrix. The inverse of a matrix A can be determined by several methods.

Direct (Analytical) method

The direct or analytical method of finding the inverse of a matrix include

i) The adjoint method (ie $A^{-1} = \frac{\text{adj} A}{|A|}$)

Numerical method

This method include

- (i) Doolittle method
- (ii) Choleski's method
- (iii) Inversion method

Inversion method

If A is a matrix whose approximate inverse B

$$\text{Then } AB = I + E$$

$$E = AB - I$$

$$(AB)^{-1} = (I + E)^{-1}$$

$$B^{-1}A^{-1} = I - E + E^2 - E^3 + \dots$$

$$(x+y)^n = x^n + nx^{n-1}y + n(n-1)x^{n-2}y^2$$

$$(I + E)^{-1} = (I - E + E^2 - E^3 + \dots)$$

$$B^{-1}A^{-1} = I - E + E^2$$

multiply by B .

$$BB^{-1}A^{-1} = B(I - E + E^2)$$

$$A^{-1} = B(I - E + E^2)$$

Example

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 2 \\ 3 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{if } B = \begin{pmatrix} 0.1 & 0.2 \\ 0.3 & -0.4 \end{pmatrix} \text{ is an approximate}$$

inverse of A

$$\text{using } A^{-1} = B(I - E + E^2)$$

$$\text{where } E = AB - I$$

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 2 \\ 3 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0.2 \\ 0.3 & -0.4 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} 1.1 & 0.2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0.2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$E^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0.2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0.2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0.01 & 0.02 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A^{-1} = B (I - E + E^2)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0.1 & 0.2 \\ 0.3 & -0.4 \end{pmatrix} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0.1 & 0.2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0.01 & 0.02 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

$$A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.1 & 0.2 \\ 0.3 & -0.4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0.91 & -0.18 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.091 & 0.182 \\ 0.273 & -0.454 \end{pmatrix}$$

EXERCICES

find the approximate inverse of A

① $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 3 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{bmatrix} -2.15 & 2.05 \\ 1.45 & -0.95 \end{bmatrix}$

① $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 10 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 3 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0.4 & 2.14 & -1.4 \\ 0.14 & 0.14 & -0.4 \\ -0.85 & -3.8 & 2.8 \end{bmatrix}$

Doolittle method

* A matrix is said to be triangular matrix if the element above or below the main diagonal are zero

* A matrix is said to be lower triangular matrix if the element above the main diagonal are zero

example

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

* A matrix is said to be an upper triangular matrix if the elements below the main diagonal are zero eg

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

Doolittle method: This method assumes that every matrix A can be expressed as a product of two triangular matrix that is $A = LU$.

ie $A^{-1} = (LU)^{-1} = U^{-1}L^{-1}$

where U is an upper triangular matrix

and L is a lower triangular matrix
 in this case U^{-1} can be determined from
 the relation $U^{-1} = B$.

$$\Rightarrow UB = I$$

Similarly if $L^{-1} = \gamma$.

$$\text{Then } LY = I$$

$$\text{If } A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ L_{21} & 1 & 0 \\ L_{31} & L_{32} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} u_{11} & u_{12} & u_{13} \\ 0 & u_{22} & u_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & u_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A = LU$$

$$\begin{array}{l|l|l} a_{11} = u_{11} & a_{21} = L_{21}u_{11} & a_{31} = L_{31}u_{11} \\ a_{12} = u_{12} & a_{22} = L_{21}u_{12} + u_{22} & a_{32} = L_{31}u_{12} + L_{32}u_{22} \\ a_{13} = u_{13} & a_{23} = L_{21}u_{13} + u_{23} & a_{33} = L_{31}u_{13} + L_{32}u_{23} + u_{33} \end{array}$$

Example

Find the inverse of the matrix A.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & -3 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and hence solve the equation}$$

$$3x + y + 2z = 6$$

$$2x - 3y - z = 5$$

$$-x + 2y + z = 1$$

Solution

Using $A = LU$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & -3 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ L_{21} & 1 & 0 \\ L_{31} & L_{32} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U_{11} & U_{12} & U_{13} \\ 0 & U_{22} & U_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & U_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$U_{11} = 3$$

$$U_{12} = 1$$

$$U_{13} = 2$$

$$L_{21} U_{11} = 2$$

$$L_{21} (3) = 2$$

$$L_{21} = 2/3$$

$$L_{21} U_{12} + U_{22} = -3$$

$$\frac{2}{3}(1) + U_{22} = -3$$

$$U_{22} = -3 - \frac{2}{3} = -\frac{11}{3}$$

$$U_{22} = -\frac{11}{3}$$

$$L_{21} U_{13} + U_{23} = -1$$

$$\frac{2}{3}(2) + U_{23} = -1$$

$$U_{23} = -1 - \frac{4}{3} = -\frac{7}{3}$$

$$U_{23} = -\frac{7}{3}$$

$$L_{31} U_{11} = -1$$

$$L_{31} (3) = -1$$

$$L_{31} = -\frac{1}{3}$$

$$L_{31} U_{12} + L_{32} U_{22} = 2$$

$$-\frac{1}{3}(1) - \frac{11}{3} L_{32} = 2$$

$$-\frac{11}{3} L_{32} = 2 + \frac{1}{3}$$

$$L_{32} = -\frac{1}{3} * \frac{3}{11} = -\frac{1}{11}$$

$$L_{32} = -\frac{1}{11}$$

$$L_{31} u_{13} + L_{32} u_{23} + u_{33} = 1$$

$$-\frac{1}{3}(2) + (-7/11)(-7/3) + u_{33} = 1$$

$$-\frac{2}{3} + \frac{49}{33} + u_{33} = 1$$

$$u_{33} = 1 + \frac{2}{3} - \frac{49}{33}$$

$$u_{33} = \frac{33 + 22 - 49}{33} = \frac{2}{11}$$

$$u_{33} = \frac{2}{11}$$

Now

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ L_{21} & 1 & 0 \\ L_{31} & L_{32} & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{2}{3} & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{3} & -7/11 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} u_{11} & u_{12} & u_{13} \\ 0 & u_{22} & u_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & u_{33} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & -\frac{11}{3} & -\frac{7}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{11} \end{pmatrix}$$

Let $L^{-1} = Y$ where

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} Y_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ Y_{21} & Y_{22} & 0 \\ Y_{31} & Y_{32} & Y_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$LY = I$$

$$LY = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{2}{3} & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{3} & -7/11 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ Y_{21} & Y_{22} & 0 \\ Y_{31} & Y_{32} & Y_{33} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{array}{l}
 y_{11} = 1 \\
 \frac{2}{3}y_{11} + y_{21} = 0 \\
 y_{21} = -\frac{2}{3} \\
 y_{22} = 1 \\
 \end{array}
 \left|
 \begin{array}{l}
 -\frac{1}{3}y_{11} - \frac{7}{3}y_{21} + y_{31} = 0 \\
 -\frac{11}{33} + \frac{14}{33} + y_{31} = 0 \\
 y_{31} = \frac{-1}{11} \\
 -\frac{7}{11}y_{12} + y_{32} = 0 \\
 y_{32} = \frac{7}{11} \\
 y_{33} = 1
 \end{array}
 \right.$$

$$L^{-1} = Y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{2}{3} & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{11} & \frac{7}{11} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$U^{-1} = Z = \begin{pmatrix} z_{11} & z_{12} & z_{13} \\ 0 & z_{22} & z_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & z_{33} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{11} & -\frac{5}{2} \\ 0 & -\frac{3}{11} & -\frac{7}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A = LU \\
 A^{-1} = U^{-1}L^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{11} & -\frac{5}{2} \\ 0 & -\frac{3}{11} & -\frac{7}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{2}{3} & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{11} & \frac{7}{11} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{3}{2} & -\frac{5}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{5}{2} & -\frac{7}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{7}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Solve the equation

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 5 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A^{-1}A X = A^{-1}B$$

$$X = A^{-1}B$$

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -7 \\ -13 \\ 20 \end{pmatrix}$$

17-03-2018

Choleski's method.

If A is a symmetric matrix, then $A = LL^T$

(Note: that if a matrix A is symmetric then $A = A^T$
 L - lower triangular matrix and L^T is the transpose of L .)

$$A^{-1} = (LL^T)^{-1} = (L^T)^{-1} L^{-1} = (L^{-1})^T L^{-1}$$

$$\text{If } A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} L_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ L_{21} & L_{22} & 0 \\ L_{31} & L_{32} & L_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} L_{11} & L_{21} & L_{31} \\ 0 & L_{22} & L_{32} \\ 0 & 0 & L_{33} \end{bmatrix} = L^T$$

$$a_{11} = L_{11}^2 \quad L_{11} = \sqrt{a_{11}}$$

$$a_{13} = L_{11} L_{31}$$

$$L_{11} L_{21} = a_{12}$$

$$L_{31} = \frac{a_{13}}{\sqrt{a_{11}}}$$

$$L_{21} = \frac{a_{12}}{\sqrt{a_{11}}}$$

Example

A^{-1} where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 & 12 \\ 2 & 17 & -5 \\ 14 & -5 & 83 \end{pmatrix}$$

Solution

Using $A = LL^T$

$$4x_1 + 2x_2 + 14x_3 = 14$$

$$2x_1 + 17x_2 - 5x_3 = -101$$

$$14x_1 - 5x_2 + 83x_3 = 155$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 & 14 \\ 2 & 17 & -5 \\ 14 & -5 & 83 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} L_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ L_{21} & L_{22} & 0 \\ L_{31} & L_{32} & L_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} L_{11} & L_{21} & L_{31} \\ 0 & L_{22} & L_{32} \\ 0 & 0 & L_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$L_{11}^2 = 4$$

$$L_{11} = 2$$

$$L_{21}L_{11} = 2 \quad L_{21} = 1$$

$$L_{11}L_{21} = 2, \quad L_{21} = 1$$

$$L_{21}^2 + L_{22}^2 = 17, \quad L_{22} = 4$$

$$L_{11}L_{31} = 14, \quad L_{31} = 7$$

$$L_{21}L_{31} + L_{22}L_{32} =$$

$$7 + 4L_{32} = -5, \quad L_{32} = -3$$

$$L_{31}^2 + L_{32}^2 + L_{33}^2 = 83$$

$$49 + 9 + L_{33}^2 = 83 \Rightarrow L_{33} = 5$$

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} L_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ L_{21} & L_{22} & 0 \\ L_{31} & L_{32} & L_{33} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 4 & 0 \\ 7 & -3 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Let } L^{-1} = Y = \begin{bmatrix} y_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ y_{21} & y_{22} & 0 \\ y_{31} & y_{32} & y_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$LL^{-1} = I$$

$$LY = I$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 4 & 0 \\ 7 & -3 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ y_{21} & y_{22} & 0 \\ y_{31} & y_{32} & y_{33} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$2y_{11} = 1 \Rightarrow y_{11} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$y_{11} + 4y_{21} = 0$$

$$4y_{21} = -\frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow y_{21} = -\frac{1}{8}$$

$$4y_{22} = 1$$

$$y_{22} = \frac{1}{4}$$

$$7y_{21} - 3y_{21} + 5y_{31} = 0$$

$$\frac{7}{2} + \frac{3}{8} + 5y_{31} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{31}{8} + 5y_{31} = 0$$

$$y_{31} = -\frac{31}{40}$$

$$-3y_{22} + 5y_{32} = 0$$

$$-3/4 + 5y_{32} = 0$$

$$y_{32} = 3/20$$

$$y_{33} = 1/5$$

$$L^{-1} = Y = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & -1/8 & -31/40 \\ 0 & 1/4 & 3/20 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/5 \end{pmatrix}$$

but $A^{-1} = (L^{-1})^T L^{-1}$

$$(L^{-1})^T = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 0 & 0 \\ -1/8 & 1/4 & 0 \\ -31/40 & 3/20 & 1/5 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A^{-1} = (L^{-1})^T L^{-1}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 0 & 0 \\ -1/8 & 1/4 & 0 \\ -31/40 & 3/20 & 1/5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & -1/8 & -31/40 \\ 0 & 1/4 & 3/20 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/5 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/4 & -1/16 & -31/80 \\ -1/16 & 5/64 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 14 \\ -101 \\ 155 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$AX = B \Rightarrow X = A^{-1}B$$